Business model for ADR – Preliminary ideas

Christophe Bonnal

- 1. Several studies (ANRICO, CNES-ONERA; P²ROTECT, TAS-I) have evaluated the probability of loss of function of a spacecraft due to collisions from small undetected debris.
 - The first study considered a Spot satellite, 800 km SSO, without SA, and came out with a 0.35% per year figure, i.e. 5% over the 15 years lifetime of the satellite.



Cumulated probability of loss of Spot 5 as a function of size of the debris

- The second study was done by TAS-I (L. Grassi, R. DeStefanis) in the frame of P²ROTECT study for EC.

It considers Sentinel 2, slightly smaller than Spot, on a slightly lower orbit, with a 7.5 years operational lifetime; the probability of losing the satellite over lifetime is 3.2 % (with a completely different and independent method), or 0.43% per year.



Solution Two different methods, but very coherent results.

FTA approach

The following is based on <u>very rough values</u> which need to be consolidated, but just to give the principle. Please use you own figures.

 According to several simulations, a good implementation of Mitigation measures and an ADR of about 5 objects per year could reduce the cumulative number of collisions by 25% (14 instead of 19, see following figure) by 2110, equivalent to 500 large objects retrieved.

If we <u>assume</u> that the reduction in collision rate is the same for small objects, and if we <u>assume</u> globally a linear trend, the gain is 0.05% collision risk per object retrieved.

It means that if you retrieve 20 large objects, the probability for Spot will decrease from 5% to 4%, meaning you save 1% of its revenue per year.



 Such "valuable" satellites have a yearly revenue of 200 M€ (<u>please, use your own value</u>), much more for a "critical" asset such as a military satellite (Pleiades, Helios), much less for an element of a commercial constellation. Say 200 M€ in average. There are roughly 450 active satellites in LEO

Other way to evaluate this:

- According to SWF, global Space Activity in 2014 = 330 G\$ (250 commercial, 80 institutional)
- Rough distribution: 75% GEO, 20% LEO
- ✤ ROM LEO Space Activity = 66 G\$
- 450 LEO satellites ⇒ 147 M\$ average per satellite

Let's assume that 100 of them do generate this kind of 200 M€ yearly revenue. Global yearly income is 20 G€.

Let's assume these satellites have an operational life of 10 years.

4. Retrieving 20 large debris from overcrowded regions (over 4 years) leads to a gain of 1% of this yearly income, so 200 M€ per year, or 800 M\$ (over 4 years).

- 5. If I know how to retrieve 20 large debris for a cost lower than 800 M\$ (40 M\$/debris), I have a global positive outcome.
- 6. In the CNES OTV studies led with our prime industrials (2 parallel studies led by TAS and Airbus; details confidential, not published) the worst cost we found for retrieval of 1 debris, in recurring mode, was 30 M€. Most probable cost was 15 M€ (with controlled deorbitation) or 7.5 M\$ (with random reentry within 25 years).
- 7. Worst realistic case: if the cost of retrieving one large debris is 20 M€ in the recurring phase, the statistical benefit for the satellites is 400 M\$ per year. Shared among the 100 "most valuable" LEO satellites, it corresponds to 4 M\$ per year.
- 8. I could theoretically be possible to explain to LEO operators that it is their interest to invest in "orbit cleansing"...